

REMARKS

Claims 3-5 were rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph. Applicants have amended Claim 3 to indicate with specificity the definitions of the variables of formula X. No change in claim scope is intended by this amendment. Accordingly this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-11 of US Patent No. 6,713,626. Applicants submit a terminal disclaimer herewith. Applicants respectfully maintain that the subject application and U.S. Pat. No. 6,713,626 were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person and subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. Accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Based on the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-5 are allowable. A timely notice of allowance is respectfully requested.



Rebecca R. Barrett
March 13, 2006
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 35,152

Wyeth
Patent Law Department
Five Giralda Farms
Madison, NJ 07940
Tel. No. (484) 865-8607